

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/668,938	09/25/2000	Volker Rasche	PHD99.130US	2720		
24737 75	590 04/16/2004	EXAMINER				
21131	ELLECTUAL PROPER	KAO, CHIH CHENG G				
P.O. BOX 3001	I MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BRIARCLIFF	WANOK, NT 10510		2882			
			DATE MAN ED: 04/16/200	DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary				RASCHE ET AL.				
		09/668,93 Examiner		Art Unit				
	<b>--------------------</b>		ng Glen Kao	2882				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		<u> </u>		dress			
Period fo	•							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no every ply within the state d will apply and wi ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 i	March_2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-10,18 and 20-28 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 18,20 and 21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-10 and 22-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from co	nsideration.					
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2000</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	s/are: a)⊠ a e drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureates  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee ority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	` '		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:		9-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hounsfield (US Patent 3952201) in view of Fujita (US Patent 5482042).
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Hounsfield discloses a method for acquiring an image data set of a moving organ (Abstract, lines 1-3) comprising: defining a plurality of different positions of an x-ray device (col. 1, lines 30-34) comprising an x-ray source (Fig. 1, #1) and an x-ray detector (Fig. 1, #4) in a common plane (col. 1, lines 32-34), defining an x-ray cycle in which all x-ray positions are successively occupied (Fig. 2(c)), detecting a motion signal of a body organ including a low-motion phase and a high motion phase (col. 1, lines 39-42, and Figs. 2(a)-2(c)), simultaneously with detection of the motion signal, successively moving the device to all x-rays positions in an x-ray cycle and acquiring a plurality of projection data sets when the x-ray device is in a respective one of the positions (Figs. 2(a)-2(c)), successively completing a plurality of x-ray cycles (Fig. 2(c)), controlling movement of the x-ray device (col. 3, lines 35-49) by means of the motion signal such that a projection data during the low motion phase of the organ is acquired when the x-rays device is in each x-ray position (Fig. 2(c)) by controlling a start of each

x-ray cycle base on the motion signal (Fig. 2(a)) to cause each x-ray cycle to commence at a different instant in the different phases of the motion of the organ (Fig. 2(c)) in relationship to

Fig. 2(a))), and using projection data sets acquired during low-motion phases for formation of the

image data set (Figs. 2(a)-2(c)).

However, Hounsfield does not disclose acquiring a three-dimensional image.

Fujita teaches acquiring a three-dimensional image (col. 1, lines 26-39).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the method of Hounsfield with the three-dimensional imaging of Fujita, since one would be motivated to use three-dimensional imaging to see a volumetric image of the object of interest as implied from Fujita (col. 1, lines 26-39).

- 3. Regarding claim 2, Hounsfield further discloses wherein only projection data sets acquired during the same motion phases are selected and used (Figs. 2(a)-2(c)).
- 4. Regarding claim 4, Hounsfield further discloses wherein the x-ray device is controlled such that projection data is acquired only during low-motion phases (Figs. 2(a)-2(c)).
- 5. Regarding claim 5, Hounsfield further discloses wherein the x-ray device is on exclusively during low-motion phases of the body organ (Figs. 2(a)-2(c)).
- 6. Regarding claim 7, Hounsfield further discloses a cardiac motion signal (Abstract and Figs. 2(a)-2(c)).

- 7. Regarding claim 22, Hounsfield further discloses defining x-ray positions on a semicircular arc (Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$  to  $0^0$ ).
- 8. Regarding claim 23, Hounsfield further discloses the x-ray positions in set positions (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$  to  $0^0$ ).
- 9. Regarding claim 24, Hounsfield further discloses the organ as a heart (col. 3, lines 1-2).
- 10. Regarding claim 25, Hounsfield further discloses the x-ray positions including an initial x-ray position (Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$ ) and a final x-ray position (Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$ ) and further comprising the steps of beginning each cycle in the initial position (Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$ ), ending each cycle in the final position (Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$ ), and then moving the device from the final position back to the initial position to begin a subsequent x-ray cycle (Fig. 2(c), period between  $0^0$  of the first revolution and  $0^0$  of the second revolution).
- 11. Regarding claim 26, Hounsfield further discloses the device moved from the final position to the initial position in a time interval (Fig. 2(c), period between  $\beta^0$  of the first revolution and  $0^0$  of the second revolution) which allows the subsequent x-ray cycle to commence at a different phase of motion (Fig. 2(c) in relationship to Fig. 2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 09/668,938 Page 5

Art Unit: 2882

12. Regarding claim 27, Hounsfield further discloses the step of defining the positions on the

arc with the initial position opposite the final position (Fig. 2(c),  $0^0$  and  $\beta^0$ ).

13. Regarding claim 28, Hounsfield further discloses a time interval between consecutive

cycles to enable the x-ray device to return to an initial x-ray position from a final x-ray position

(Fig. 2(c), period between  $\beta^0$  of the first revolution and  $0^0$  of the second revolution).

14. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hounsfield in view of Fujita as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Richey et

al. (US Patent 4547892).

Hounsfield in view of Fujita suggests a method as recited above.

However, Hounsfield does not disclose a respiratory and cardiac motion signal.

Richey et al. teaches a respiratory and cardiac motion signal (Claims 1 and 7).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made, to have the suggested method of Hounsfield in view of Fujita with the

respiratory and cardiac motion signal of Richey et al., since one would be motivated use this to

obtain images that are not blurred by motion as implied from Richey et al. (col. 5, lines 55-61).

15. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hounsfield in view

of Fujita and Richey et al. as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Koka et al. (US

Patent 4751644).

Hounsfield in view of Fujita and Richey et al. suggests a method as recited above.

However, Hounsfield does not disclose a respiratory motion signal to correct projection data sets acquired in different respiratory motions phases.

Koka et al. teaches a motion signal to correct projection data sets acquired in different motion phases (col. 3, lines 43-51).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the suggested method of Hounsfield in view of Fujita and Richey et al. with the correction of projection data sets of Koka et al., since one would be motivated use this to obtain images for a selected phase as shown by Koka et al. (col. 3, lines 43-51).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the suggested method of Hounsfield in view of Fujita, Richey et al., and Koka et al. with the respiratory motion signal correcting images, since respiratory and cardiac motion signals are considered art recognized equivalents in that they both relate to motions that can create image distortions as implied from Richey et al. (col. 5, lines 55-61). It would have been within ordinary skill in the art to substitute one type of signal for another. One would be motivated to use a respiratory signal for better images without the blurriness due to motion as implied from Richey et al. (col. 5, lines 55-61).

16. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hounsfield in view of Fujita and Richey et al. as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Suzuki et al. (US Patent 4878499).

Hounsfield in view of Fujita and Richey et al. suggests a method as recited above.

However, Hounsfield does not disclose further informing the patient that a desired respiratory motion phase has been reached based on a respiratory motion signal.

Suzuki et al. teaches further informing the patient that a desired respiratory motion phase has been reached based on a respiratory motion signal (col. 5, lines 25-40).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the suggested method of Hounsfield in view of Fujita and Richey et al. with the informing of Suzuki et al., since one would be motivated use this to ensure that everyone knows that the best image is being created as implied from Suzuki et al. (col. 5, lines 25-40).

## Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 18, 20, and 21 contain allowable subject matter.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 18, prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for acquiring a three-dimensional image data set of a moving organ including the step of maintaining an x-ray device in an x-ray position when a low-motion phase is not present and continuously determining whether the low motion phase is present until a positive determination is obtained and thereafter acquiring a projection data set and continuing movement of the x-ray device, as specified in combination with all the limitations in the claim. Claims 20 and 21 contain allowable subject matter by virtue of their dependency.

18. Objections to the claims in the Office Action mailed 12/22/03 have been withdrawn in

light of the amendments filed 3/10/04.

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-28 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

20. Applicant's arguments filed 3/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Regarding Hounsfield et al., Hounsfield et al. does disclose controlling the start of x-ray

cycles based on a motion signal to cause each x-ray cycle to commence at a different instant in

the different phases of motion of the organ (Fig. 2(c) in relationship to Fig. 2(a)).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/668,938

Art Unit: 2882

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2492. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gk

EDWARD JOURNAMINER

Page 9